Delayed Nipple-Sparing Modified Subcutaneous Mastectomy: Rationale and Technique
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Abstract: The problem of nipple-areola complex (NAC) preservation during mastectomy is a very intriguing and stimulating issue. In fact, in order to perform an oncologically safe operation, no mammary tissue (enclosed in the main galactophoric ducts) should remain; on the other hand, without the blood supply coming from the breast gland, NAC viability is greatly impaired because the surrounding vascular dermal network is not developed enough to support its metabolic requirements. We suggest therefore a two-step surgical procedure. The first step, on an outpatient basis with local tumescent anesthesia, is a mini-invasive cutting and coagulating procedure. It addresses the autonomization of the vascular supply to the NAC by detaching the galactophore stalk from the nipple and coagulating the deep vascular plexus. The second step, under general anesthesia and again with tumescent technique, removes the breast within its capsule, with careful checks of any remnant and adequate approach to the axilla. A subpectoralis prosthesis completes the procedure. In our view, this technique is electively suitable for prophylactic mastectomy, but also for stage I breast cancer, 2.5 cm from the NAC and 1.5 cm from the skin and pectoralis fascia, and it is very safe, simple, and effective.
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The inheritable breast cancer recently detectable by evidence of the BRCA-1/BRCA-2 gene mutation, lobular hyperplasia, atypical ductal hyperplasia, and lobular carcinoma in situ are currently faced on a preventive basis with selective screening and follow-up, chemoprevention, and/or prophylactic mastectomy (1–4). The surgical options of simple mastectomy and subcutaneous mastectomy—the former excising the nipple-areola complex (NAC) together with the gland, the latter leaving intact the NAC—provides 95–99% and 90–95% breast tissue removal, respectively, and thus being inadequate as to oncologic radicality (5). Therefore when risk of breast cancer is high, total mastectomy is the golden standard.

A 13% increase (from 81% to 94%) of total mastectomies in cohorts of women with a family history of breast malignancy has been observed since 1995, followed by an high rate of reconstruction. Metcalfe et al. (6) report breast restoration in 60% of these patients, compared with 6–13% of nonprophylactic mastectomies.

Our study addresses the hypothesis of a new radical approach to subcutaneous mastectomy while retaining the integrity of the NAC, without leaving any gland stalk or parenchyma underneath, and thus obtaining complete clearance of the breast tissue. Primary reconstruction with subpectoralis prosthesis or two-stage replacement with an expander are the surgical options to complete the procedure in order to achieve satisfactory cosmetic results. This procedure addresses both cancer prophylaxis and stage I cancer treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From April 1999 to August 2004, we operated on 18 women (Table 1), age 29–62 years, recruited on the basis of the following guidelines: T1 cancer, 2.5 cm from the NAC and at least 1.5 cm from the skin and pectoralis fascia. All patients signed an informed consent form with analytical details of the procedure. The procedure was divided into two different phases: NAC vascular autonomization on an outpatient basis using local tumescent anesthesia with laparoscopic instrumentation; and delayed nipple-sparing modified subcutaneous mastectomy using general anesthesia with tumescent technique plus subpectoralis textured silicone breast implant.
Details of the Procedure

Phase 1 consists of premedication with diazepam 10 mg intramuscularly, tramadol 100 mg, and cefazolin 1 g (Fig. 1A). In the supine position, the areola-periareolar subcutaneous tissue (each side) is infiltrated using a 21-gauge needle with anesthetic solution: 1% Carbocaine and epinephrine (1:1000) in 20 ml and 80 ml saline with 10 ml sodium bicarbonate (1:16) (Fig. 1B).

The orange-peel skin is obtained over a 20 cm diameter. After 20 minutes, when the skin is blanched due to vasoconstrictor effect, a 5 mm hole is placed 18 cm from the nipple with a no. 11 blade along the line of approach for the final operation. Electrified laparoscopic scissors are then introduced through this small incision and dissection of the periareolar skin and nipple-areola from the breast gland stalk is completed on a very surficial subcutaneous plane (Fig. 1C). The breast parenchyma is totally severed from the skin and coagulated, leaving only the dermal vascular network to supply the NAC.

Great care is taken to avoid skin overheating or burning: sponges filled with cold water are applied to the skin surface and cold saline irrigation mixed with 1 g chloramphenicol powder is introduced in the subcutaneous space (Fig. 1D).

Careful hemostasis control follows this step, with dry gauze strips introduced into the wound up to the subareolar dead space to detect and stop any blood leaking. Microscopic examination of the major ductal breast stalk is now possible to evaluate potential tumor seeding.

Finally, six to eight fine strips of collagen (5 cm × 0.5 cm) with gentamicin are inserted to insulate the recently dissected deep subcutaneous surface from the vascular network of the cut gland (Fig. 1E). A single Ethilon stitch is used to close the skin.

The breasts are wrapped in an elastic bandage. The patient is given analgesic and antibiotic prescriptions for 3 days. Stitch removal is performed 6 days later.

Phase 2 occurs 3 weeks later, when the patient is admitted to the hospital for the final mastectomy or mastectomy plus lymphadenectomy. Under general anesthesia, the breast subcutaneous fat close to the dermis (bilaterally) is infiltrated with saline solution (250 ml each) with antibiotic and 0.25 mg epinephrine, producing a widespread orange-peel skin appearance.

When the surface starts to be pale due to vasoconstriction, a 12–15 cm incision is made, either vertically between the internal and external quadrants or laterally between the upper and lower quadrants (Fig. 2A). In cases where a previous scar exists, the incision overlaps it to minimize vascular injury to the NAC.

The surgeon reaches the breast capsule and starts detaching it from the subcutaneous stroma with blunt dissection and coagulation, paying attention not to overheat the dermal vessels and to avoid tearing the capsule (Fig. 2B). Special care is taken with the axillary prolongation excision; in the case of axillary and/or sentinel lymph node dissection, the lateral incision is preferred because of the optimal vessels and nerve exposure.

When the dead subareolar space is approached, the surgeon checks the inner surface of the nipple-areola as well as the coagulated breast gland stalk to clear loose collagenic adhesions; at this time subcutaneous biopsy of the nipple remnant is performed and samples of
Finally, after having removed the subcutaneous surface of the breast capsule, it is possible to draw out the entire gland (Fig. 2C). The pectoralis fascia is preserved or excised, with accurate control of the bleeding (Fig. 2D).

At this point, a very accurate bench examination of the breast is done (Fig. 2E), with special attention to the capsule integrity, then a second look with breast skin fiberoptic transillumination or a laparoscopic camera is performed to be sure that no remnants or succenturiate lobules have been inadvertently left in place. In case of

Figure 1. Phase 1. (A) Cystic mastopathy and ductal infiltrating carcinoma, preoperative view. (B) Local anesthesia and tumescent injection to induce orange-peel skin. (C) Electrified laparoscopic equipment. (D) Vascular network coagulation and galactophore stalk dissection: the NAC skin is cooled by wet sponges. (E) Blind final adjustment of the operative field that is covered with several collagen fleeces in order to prevent regeneration of the cut and coagulated vessels; the collagen is inserted with a small vascular clamp.

the galactophoric stalk are prepared and sent to the pathologist.
tumor surgery, 12 surgical biopsies (3 for each quadrant) of the subcutaneous fat tissue are sent to the pathologist to confirm the absence of any gland remnant or tumor cluster. When clearance is confirmed and hemostasis is achieved, a subpectoralis silicone prosthesis or temporary skin expander can be placed to prepare the pocket for reconstruction. Suction drains are inserted and left in place for 72 hours.

Pectoralis muscle has to be completely detached from lateral and distal insertion, and a properly sized textured
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The silicone prosthesis (Eurosilicone, France) is wrapped within its fibers with absorbable stitches to avoid any direct contact between the skeletonized skin and the polysiloxane membrane. Proper skin closure and elastic bandaging complete the procedure.

RESULTS

No NAC necrosis has been observed, except in patient 1, during the learning curve training, where overheating caused heat injury to the skin (Fig. 3). In this patient, the second operation was postponed 6 weeks to allow good scar formation and dermal vascular network regeneration. The final outcome was satisfactory. No depigmentation or other damage to the NAC has ever been observed.

We have also been able to safely lift the NAC during the reconstruction phase in two cases, allowing us to achieve symmetry.

In one case (patient 2) we didn’t immediately insert the prosthesis because of planned chemoradiotherapy. The NAC was undamaged and the patient is awaiting reconstruction.

Another case had unilateral delayed nipple-sparing modified subcutaneous mastectomy and replacement with prosthesis, but not contralateral mastopexy (Fig. 4).

No local or axillary tumor relapse has been observed, with an average follow-up of 21 months (range 6–52 months).

DISCUSSION

Our results show that the two-step delayed nipple-sparing modified subcutaneous mastectomy is a safe, simple, and effective procedure to completely remove the gland with intraoperative direct determination of any possible remnant. The autonomization of the NAC obtained

Figure 3. (A) Incorrect and (B) correct outcome of NAC autonomization performed by two surgeons on the same patient at the same time: overheating and burning of the nipple stalk produces coagulative necrosis that heals in 4–8 weeks.

Figure 4. (A) Breast carcinoma detected 2 years after quadrantectomy far from the nipple: the scar of our operation overlaps the previous incision. (B) The same patient 3 months later: contralateral symmetric adjustment has been planned, but not yet done.
with blind dissection by means of laparoscopic instruments leaves only the dermal plexus as the main vascular supply (7). This very fine network is able to guarantee the integrity of breast skin appendages (assuming that they are being cut very close to the dermis) and even to mobilize the nipple-areola if mastopexy is required during reconstruction.

Hemostasis of detached galactophores, antibiotic irrigation of the surgical field, and collagen fleece insulation of the deep nipple-areola layer are three main steps to prevent infections and complications and to induce an efficient collateral circulation and autonomization of the overlying skin: vascular reconnection from the breast gland to the skin is prevented and very loose adhesions are detected during the second part of the procedure. The opportunity to do cytologic smears or frozen sections of both the cut surfaces during the second surgical step gives further oncologic awareness of radicality, and is why this technique is also used for stage I breast cancer.

We believe this procedure is another option to classic skin-preserving mastectomies. This approach should be considered especially for young women with a high family cancer risk, because it takes equally into account complete gland removal and cosmetic reconstruction in a very simple way.

A two-step surgical approach, the first one being an office procedure, makes nipple-areola preservation easy and safe. Furthermore, with this technique, accurate pathologic details as to the absence of cancer cells under the NAC are obtained at two different times. The safe interval between the first and second operation is 2–4 weeks, based on the time required to open the collateral capillary network and complete the newly formed angiogenetic processes.

This simple method achieves vascular autonomization and oncologic safety of the preserved NAC. Wider patient recruitment and long-term follow-up of this technique are needed.
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